Volume 4, Issue 9, September 2014 ISSN: 2277 128X
International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering
Research Paper
Available online at: www.ijarcsse.com

Energy Efficient Algorithms in WSNs: A Review

Anandan.K*
M.Tech. Networking & Pondicherry University
India

Ram Kumar.R
M.Tech. Networking & Pondicherry University
India

***Abstract*— To Enhance Motes lifetime in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) the Communication paths are selected in such a way that the total energy consumed along the path is minimized. To support high scalability and efficient data aggregation, motes are often grouped into a loosely or tightly coupled network to perform some task called clusters. Clusters create hierarchical structure of WSNs which gives efficient utilization of limited resources of sensor motes and they extend network life span. The objective of this paper is to present a state of the art survey on Energy Efficient algorithms reported in the literature of WSNs**.*

Keywords*— Motes, Clusters, wireless sensor networks, network life span, energy efficient algorithms, energy efficient routing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) is a self-organization wireless network system which is constituted by numbers of energy-limited micro sensors .Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) energy is a very precious resource for motes and communication overhead is to be minimized. Micro Electro Mechanical System (MEMS) sensor technology has facilitated the development of smart sensors, these smart sensors nodes are small devices with limited power, processing and computation resource. Wireless sensor networks are usually energy limited and therefore an energy-efficient routing algorithm is desired for prolonging the network lifetime. Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) typically consist of a large number of energy-constrained motes with limited on-board battery resources which are difficult to recharge or replace. In Wireless Sensor Networks, recharge or replace the batteries of the nodes may not be possible. Many techniques have been proposed for improving the energy efficiency in energy-constrained and distributed WSNs. Due to the limited energy and communication ability of motes, it seems especially important to design a routing protocol for WSNs so that sensing data can be transmitted to the receiver effectively.

In WSNs the motes are divided in virtual grouped according to some rules, in a group it execute different functions from other nodes and managed by a single node called a cluster, clustering is used in WSNs, motes are gathered together to reuse the resources and network resource sharing and efficient use of constrained resources that gives network topology stability and energy saving attributes. Clustering schemes offer reduced communication overheads thus decreasing the overall energy consumption and reducing the interference among MOTES.

A large range of clusters can clog up the space with tiny size clusters and an awfully tiny range of clusters can exhaust the cluster head with great amount of messages transmitted from cluster members. LEACH protocols is class-conscious routing supported clump and realize the optimum number of clusters in WSNs so as to avoid wasting energy and enhance network period. In this work, we've got surveyed the state-of-art of clump algorithms in WSNs. We have discussed the benefits and downsides of clustering along with a survey of LEACH and its descendant.

II. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATION OF WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS

In WSN motes have limited process power, communication information measure, and space for storing. This provides rise to new and distinctive challenges in knowledge management and knowledge process. In-network processing techniques, like knowledge aggregation, multicast and broadcast got to be developed. Network period is that the key characteristics used for evaluating the performance of any sensor network [2]. A period of the network is decided by residual energy of the system, thence main and most vital challenge in WSN is that the economical use of energy resources. Literature shows the energy potency is introduced in WSNs mistreatment any of the subsequent mechanisms: Energy conservation mechanism, Power conservation mechanism, Energy gathers mechanism and Energy economical routing.

III. HIERARCHICAL ROUTING IN WSNS

The main target of Hierarchical routing or cluster based mostly routing is to with efficiency maintain the energy usage of motes by involving them in multi-hop communication among a specific cluster. Cluster formation is usually supported the energy reserve of sensors and sensors proximity to the Cluster Head (CHs). Clustering plays a very important role for energy saving in WSNs. With clustering in WSNs, energy consumption, period of the network and measurability may be improved. As a result of solely cluster head node per cluster is needed to perform routing task and also the different motes simply forward their information to cluster head. Clustering has vital applications in high-density

sensor networks, as a result of its abundant easier to manage a group of cluster representatives (cluster head) from every cluster than to manage whole motes. In WSNs the motes are resource affected which implies they need restricted energy; transmit power, memory, and computational capabilities. Energy consumed by the motes for communicating data from motes to the base station is that the crucial explanation for energy depletion in motes**.**

IV. PROS AND CONS OF CLUSTERING IN WSNS

The pros of Clustering are that it enables bandwidth reuse thus can improve the system capacity [3]. In a fact of cluster All the traditional nodes send their knowledge to the CHs therefore energy saving is achieved by absence of flooding, multiple routes, or routing loops. Thus enables a efficient resource allocation and so facilitate in higher planning of power management and different advantage is that any changes of nodes behaviour at intervals a cluster have an effect on solely that cluster however not the complete network. There also are many cons of existing cluster schemes in WSNs like selection of the cluster heads; some technique selects cluster heads solely per the ID range or residual energy of the sensing element nodes. Since all the info in sensing element network square measure sent to the base station, the traffic close to the base station is higher. The MOTES in these areas can thus run out energy earlier. The base station can then be isolated and as a result, the residual energy holds on within the different sensor nodes are going to be wasted. Another disadvantage is that the energy is wasted by flooding in route discovery and duplicated transmission of information by multiple routes from the supply to the destination.

V. CLUSTERING ALGORITHMS IN WSNS

A. CACC: Clustering Algorithm based on Cell Combination [4]

In this paper author planned a clustering algorithm that supported cell combination for the networks. Motes distributed densely and also the energy of motes is usually restricted. In this clustering algorithm, the observance region is split into polygon cells by considering the geographic location data of nodes. Every cluster consists of a minimum of seven polygonal shape cells. Nodes with identical cluster identity kind a cluster and also the cluster head in every cluster is elective from the central cell of every cluster. The form of the cells take into account nearly circular so as to enhance channel recycle and energy potency.

B. VAP-E: Energy-Efficient Clustering -Virtual Area Partition [5]

In this authors projected an energy clustering algorithmic program that supported virtual space partition in heterogeneous networks surroundings wherever the peak transmission power of every node could also be completely different. Authors found that VAP-E will balance the load between clusters, enhance the energy potency of sensing element nodes, prolong the period of time of networks, and improve the potency of communications. Authors additionally compare this algorithmic program with relevance LEACH and LEACH-E and located that VAP-E will enhance the soundness amount and network life time with identical simulation condition.

C. CFL: Clustering for Localization [6]

Authors projected a clustering algorithm that uses a combined weight operate and tries to divide the device nodes so a minimum variety of clusters with most variety of device nodes in every cluster can be achieved. The weight functions at every sensor node that may be a combination of various parameters including: residual energy, variety of neighbours and transmission power. Essentially CFL clustering algorithmic rule is intended for localization in WSNs. It's unable to figure once the distribution of device nodes isn't sensible.

D. FoVs: Overlapped Field of View [7]

Authors planned a clustering algorithmic rule for wireless transmission device networks supported overlapped Field of read (FoV) areas. The contribution of this algorithmic rule is finding the intersection two-dimensional figure and computing the overlapped areas confirm to a certain clusters and determine cluster membership. For dense networks, overlapping FoVs causes wasting power of the system due to redundant sensing of the world. The aim of the clustering technique is prolonging network life span and energy conservation.

E. KOCA: K-Hop Overlapping Clustering Algorithm [8]

Authors projected a cluster algorithmic rule supported K-hop overlapping that is employed to beat the matter of overlapping multi-hop agglomeration for WSNs. Goal of KOCA algorithmic rule is generating connected overlapping clusters that cowl the whole device network with a particular average overlapping degree. Authors additionally found that KOCA produces around equal-sized clusters, which permit equally distributing the load equally over totally different clusters. In KOCA, agglomeration formation terminates in an exceedingly constant time despite the network size. Beneath rivalry and severe errors, up to ten %, KOCA communication overhead is reduced thanks to the born packets. Author's simulation results show that clusters area unit around equal in size. This is often requiring achieving load equalisation between totally different clusters.

F. PEZCA: Power-Efficient Zoning Clustering Algorithm [9]

Authors planned a Power-Efficient segmentation clump algorithmic rule (PEZCA) that uses 2 algorithms: classical LEACH (Low-Energy accommodative clump Hierarchy) and PEGASIS (Power-Efficient Gathering in sensing element data Systems). during this algorithmic rule, base station contemplate at a centre of the state of affairs and also the

state of affairs space is split into multiple fan formed regions and also the clusters nearer to the bottom station have smaller sizes than those farther off from the bottom station. Therefore CHs (cluster heads) nearest to the SB (base station) will preserve a lot of energy for inter-cluster information transmission. PEZCA offer a lot of balance in energy consumption and life time of network comparisons to LEACH.

G. VoGC: Voting-on-Grid clustering [10]

In this author combined selection technique and agglomeration formula, and developed new agglomeration schemes for secure localization of sensing element networks. Authors additionally found that the fresh projected approaches have superb performances on localization accuracy and also the detection rate of malicious beacon signals. During this theme, malicious beacon signals square measure filtered out in line with the agglomeration results of intersections of location reference circles. Authors used a voting-on-grid (VOGC) technique rather than ancient agglomeration algorithms to cut back the process price and located that the theme will offer smart localization accuracy and establish a high degree of malicious beacon signals.

H. BARC: Battery Aware Reliable Clustering [11]

In this cluster algorithmic program authors used mathematical battery model for implementation in WSNs. With this battery model authors projected a replacement Battery Aware Reliable cluster (BARC) algorithmic program for WSNs. It improves the performance over alternative cluster algorithms by mistreatment Z-MAC and it rotates the cluster heads in step with battery recovery schemes. A BARC algorithmic program consists of 2 stages per spherical for choice of cluster heads: data format or setup and steady state. During this formation of cluster, occur by electing a group of CHs. BARC enhances the network period greatly compare to alternative cluster algorithms.

I. Hausdroff Clustering [12]

Authors thought of that, once cluster formations occur it's remaining same throughout the network period of time. This algorithmic program maximizes the period of time of every cluster so as to extend the life time of the system. Cluster life time may be increased by rotating the role of cluster heads (CHs) among the nodes within the cluster. Cluster heads choice essentially supported the residual energy of the detector nodes and it additionally used the proximity of neighbours as a secondary criterion for enhancing energy potency and additional prolong the network period of time. The Hausdroff clump algorithmic program is equally applicable for each uniform and no uniform detector node initial energy distribution.

J. HSA: Harmony Search Algorithms [13]

This is music primarily based Meta heuristic optimisation rule that is analogous with a music improvisation method wherever musician still polish the pitches so as to get higher harmony. By that it optimizing the energy consumption and minimizing intra-cluster distance of the network. During this the bottom station computes and allocates nodes into clusters consistent with the data of their residual energy and placement. The operation has 2 phases: agglomeration setup and knowledge transmission. This rule provides improvement in term of power consumption and network life time over LEACH protocol. With atiny low network diameter, energy consumption of the network is nearly same once mistreatment totally different agglomeration protocols.

K. PEGASIS: Power-Efficient Gathering in Sensor Information System [14]

By this author planned formula PEGASIS that is a series based protocol provide improvement over LEACH algorithms. In PEGASIS, each node communicates alone with an in depth neighbour and takes turns transmission to rock bottom station, so reducing the number of energy spent per spherical. mistreatment greedy formula, the nodes are progressing to be organized to create a series, after SB can reason this chain and broadcast it to all or any or any the detector nodes. Energy saving in PEGASIS over LEACH takes place by many stages: initial, at intervals the native military operation, the distances that just about all of the detector nodes transmit unit long less compared to transmission to a cluster-head in LEACH. Second, only one node transmits to the SB in each spherical of communication. PEGASIS outperforms LEACH by limiting the amount of transmissions, eliminating the overhead of dynamic.

L. Max-Min D-Cluster Algorithm [15]

Authors planned a bunch formula inside that no nodes are over d-hops removed from the cluster head. The cluster head alternative strategy developed, by having each detector node initiate a second spherical of flooding, from that winds up are thought-about. Thus on detect the cluster head nodes, follow a group of rule that 1st d spherical said as get, accustomed propagate largest node IDs and once completion of this spherical ordinal d spherical begin that's termed flagmen. This formula is applicable on condition that a pair of assumptions ar made: all nodes that survive the flood scoop elect themselves cluster heads. Throughout flooding, no node ID will propagate any than d-hops from originating node. This formula provides load feat among the cluster heads.

M. PDCH: Pegasis Algorithm Improving Based on Double Cluster Head [16]

Authors projected Associate in nursing algorithmic program supported stratified chain topology and this algorithmic program victimisation bottom level cluster head and super level cluster head to enhance the load balance. Within the data structure, base station (BS) is that the centre of a circle. The bachelor's degree can predefine the quantity

of levels and each node's distance to bachelor's degree set the extent that it belongs to. Each node receives the signal from the bachelor's degree, then in line with the signal strength to notice the space to bachelor's degree. PDCH outgo to PEGASIS algorithmic program and it's conjointly helpful for big networks.

N. GROUP [17]

GROUP clump formulas supported clump algorithm that has scalable and economical packet routing for large-scale WSNs. just some components of total variety of detector nodes participate in formation of cluster heads (CHs). In this, cluster heads square measure organized during a grid manner and first sink (One of the sink), dynamically and indiscriminately builds the cluster grid. Greed Seed (GS) is a node within a given radius from the primary sink. Any queries from sink to nodes are propagated from greed seed to its cluster heads and so on.

O. EECS: Energy Efficient Clustering Schemes [18]

Authors projected a formula within which cluster formation is completely different from LEACH protocol. In LEACH protocol cluster formation takes place on the premise of a minimum distance of nodes to their corresponding cluster head. In EECS, dynamic size of clusters takes place that is predicated on cluster distance from the bottom station. The results area unit and formula that addresses the matter that clusters at a larger distance from the sink needs additional energy for transmission than people who area unit nearer. Ultimately it provides equal distribution of energy within the networks, leading to network lifespan. Therefore main advantage of this formula is that the full property are often achieved for an extended period. Therefore we will say it provides reliable sensing capabilities at a bigger vary of networks for an extended amount of your time. It provides a 35% improvement in network life time over LEACH formula.

P. EEUC: Energy Efficient Unequal Clustering [19]

This theme is distance primarily based theme almost like EECS and it additionally needed that each node has international identification like its locations and distances to the bottom station. Hotspot is that the main drawback in WSNs thanks to multi hopping that happens once CHs nearer to the sink tend to die quicker compare to a different node within the WSNS, as a result of the relay far more traffic than remote nodes. This algorithms partition the all nodes into clusters of unequal size, and clusters nearer to the sink have smaller sizes than those farther aloof from the sink. Therefore cluster heads (CHs) nearer to the sink will preserved some energy for the inter-cluster knowledge forwarding. Energy consumed by cluster heads per spherical in EEUC a lot of not up to that of LEACH commonplace however almost like HEED protocol.

Q. LCA: Linked Cluster Algorithms and LCA2 [20]

A link cluster algorithmic program that was one in every of the oldest clump algorithms developed for wired device networks, however later developed conjointly for wireless device networks. In LCA every node includes a distinctive ID range and choice of cluster heads during this algorithmic program depends upon 2 factors: Node has the very best ID range within the cluster. If none of its neighbours' square measure cluster heads. Since LCA used TDMA frame for communication between the nodes, wherever every frame has slots for every network within the network to speak. This implies that LCA is barely applicable for little networks and for larger network LCA impose bigger communication delay. Author planned LCA2 algorithmic program, so as to eliminate the election of Associate in Nursing reserve range of cluster heads, as in LCA.

R. Highest-connectivity cluster algorithm [21]

Authors, propose a highest-connectivity cluster rule that is comparable to LCA. During this rule rather than victimization the ID range for choice of cluster heads authors used property by node. During this the node that is connected additional range of nodes is electoral as a cluster head. Highest-connectivity cluster rule suffers from further overhead related to additional frequent topology changes. Highest-connectivity cluster rule has a slightly larger cluster size than d-hop Max-Min agglomeration algorithms.

S. PSO-Clustering [22]

Authors projected PSO-clustering that have four variants of PSO: PSO-TVIW (PSO with time varied inertia weight), PSO-TVAC (PSO with time varied acceleration constants), HPSO-TVAC (hierarchical PSO-TVAC) and PSO-SSM (PSO with supervisor student mode) for energy aware agglomeration in WSNs. This algorithmic rule is applicable only if every node has fastened Omni-directional transmission vary, the sensing element field ought to be mapped into a 2-Dimensional house and nodes ar willy-nilly distributed. When readying of the nodes, the nodes ar static and also the positions of the nodes ar better-known to the bottom station. The bottom station runs the agglomeration algorithmic rule and updates nodes regarding their cluster-head and every one nodes ought to have same transmission ranges and hardware configurations.

T. PSO-C: Centralized-PSO [23]

Authors planned centralized-PSO algorithms, during which the nodes which have energy higher than average energy resource area unit electoral because the cluster heads. During this authors conjointly compare this rule with

LEACH protocol and with LEACH-C. Simulation results show that PSO surmount to LEACH and LEACH-C in term of network life time and outturn etc. It conjointly outperforms GA and K-means based mostly agglomeration algorithms.

U. MST-PSO: Minimum Spanning Tree-PSO [24]

Authors planned a minimum spanning tree-PSO primarily based agglomeration algorithmic program of the weighted graph of the WSNs. The optimized route between the nodes and its cluster heads is searched from the complete optimum tree on the idea of energy consumption. Election of cluster head is predicated on the energy accessible to nodes and geometer distance to its neighbour node within the optimum tree. Others have finished that network life time doesn't depend upon the bottom station location or residual energy of the node. Once the topology set to then network life time becomes nearly settled. Author's shows 2 techniques for up network life time: cut back the start-up energy consumption of the transmitter and receiver, and optimized the topology.

V. LEACH and Its Descendant [25]

Low Energy adjective agglomeration graded Protocol (LEACH) uses the subsequent techniques to attain the look goals: irregular, self-configuring and adjective cluster formation, native management for information transfers and low-energy media access management and application specific processing. LEACH protocol has several spherical and every round has 2 phases, a setup part and steady state part, in came upon part it provides cluster formation in adjective manner and within the steady state part transfer of knowledge takes place. LEACH uses a TDMA or a CDMA Mack to cut back inter-cluster and intra cluster collisions. Cluster formation supported several properties like the quantity and sort of sensors, communication vary and geographical location. The energy consumption of the knowledge gathered by the sensors node to succeed in the sink can rely upon the quantity of cluster heads and radio vary of various algorithms, as a result of the energy consumption is reduced by organizing the detector nodes within the clusters

VI. RESULTS

We have surveyed the state-of-art of various agglomeration algorithms in wireless sensing element networks in conjunction with LEACH and descendant reported within the literature of WSNs until nowadays and conferred the comparison of various LEACH descendant. We have found that the some energy economical algorithms will increase the network time period though each effort has been created to supply complete and correct state of the art survey on energy economical agglomeration algorithms in conjunction with LEACH and its descendant as applicable to WSNs.

REFERENCES

[1] Z. Q. C. Yunxia, "*On the lifetime of wireless sensor networks*," Vol. 9, pp. 976–978, 2005.

[2] J. N. Al-Karaki and A. Kamal, "*Routing techniques in wireless sensor networks: a survey*," vol. 11, pp. 6–28, Dec. 2004.

[3] C. Hao and S. Megerian, "*Cluster sizing and head selection for efficient data aggregation and routing in sensor networks*," In Proceedings of the IEEE on Wireless Communications and Networking, vol. 4, pp. 2318–2323, April 2006.

[4] L. Chang-RI, Z... Yun, Z. Xian-ha, and Z. Zibo "*A clustering algorithm based on cell combination for wireless sensor networks*" In Second International Workshop on Education Technology and Computer Science, 2,74–77.

[5] R. Wang, L. Guozhi, and C. Zheng "A *clustering algorithm based on virtual area partition for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks*". In International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation, 372–376.

[6] S. Zainalie and M. Yaghmaee "*CFL: A clustering algorithm for localization in wireless sensor networks*". In International Symposium on Telecommunications 435–439.

[7] M. Alaei , and J.M. Barcelo-ordinas "*Node clustering based on overlapping FOVs for wireless multimedia sensor networks*". In Proceedings of the IEEE wireless communication and networking, 1–6.

[8] M. Youssef, A. Youssef, and M. Younis, *"Overlapping multi-hop clustering for wireless sensor networks*" *IEEE* transactions on parallel and distributed systems, 20, 12, 1844–1856.

[9] F. Bai, H. Mu, and J. Sun *"Power-efficient zoning clustering algorithm for wireless sensor networks".* In Proceedings of the Information Engineering and computer science, 1-4.

[10] Yang, and W.T. Zhu *"Voting-on-grid clustering for secures localization in wireless sensor networks"*. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on communication, 1–5

[11] K. Watfa, O.Mirza, and J. Kawtharani *"BARC: A battery aware reliable clustering algorithm for sensor networks".* Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 32, 6, 1183–1193.

[12] Zhu, L. Shen, and T. Yum *"Hausdorff clustering and minimum energy routing for wireless sensor networks*". IEEE transaction on vehicular technology, 58, 2, 990–997

[13] D. C. Hoang, P. Yadav, R. Kumar, and S. Panda *"Node clustering based on overlapping FOVs for wireless multimedia sensor networks"*. In Proceedings of the IEEE on Communications Workshops (ICC), 1–5.

[14] S. Lindsey,. And C.S. Raghavendra, C.S. *"PEGASIS: Power-efficient gathering in sensor information systems"*. In IEEE proceeding on aerospace, 3, 1125-1130.

[15] D. Amish, R...prakash, T. vuong, and D. huynh *"Max-min d-cluster formation in wireless ad hoc networks".* In Nineteenth IEEE Annual Joint proceeding on Computer and communication societies, 1, 32–41.

[16] W. Linping, B. Wu, C. Zhen, and W.Zufeng *"Improved algorithm of PEGASIS protocol introducing double cluster heads in wireless sensor network"*. IEEE International Conference on Computer, Mechatronics, Control and Electronic Engineering, 148–151.

[17] Liyang, M.W. Neng, Z. Wei, and Z. Chunlei *"GROUP: A grid-clustering routing protocol for wireless sensor networks"*. In IEEE International conference on Wireless communications, Networking and Mobile Computing, 1–5.

[18] Mao, L. Chengfa, C. Guihai, and J. Wu *"EECS: An energy efficient clustering scheme in wireless sensor networks"*. In Proceedings IPCCC, IEEE 24th International, 535–540.

[19] Li, M. Ye, G. Chen and J. Wu, *"An energy-efficient unequal clustering mechanism for wireless sensor networks"*. In IEEE International Conference on Mobile Ad-hoc and Sensor Systems, 604–611.

[20] D.J. Baker and A. Epheremide, *"The architectural organization of a mobile radio network via a distributed algorithm"*. IEEE transaction on communication 29, 11, 1694–1701.

[21] A.D Amis, R. Prakash, T. Yuong, and D. Huynh, *"Max-min d-cluster formation in wireless ad hoc networks"*. In Nineteenth IEEE Annual Joint proceeding on Computer and communication societies, 1, 32–41.

[22] S. Guru, S. Halgamuge and S. Fernando *"Particle swarm optimizers for cluster formation in wireless sensor networks"*. In IEEE International Conference on sensor, 319–324.

[23] N.M.A. Latiff, C.C. Tsimenidis, and B.S Sheriff *"Energy-aware clustering for wireless sensor networks using particle swarm optimization"*. In IEEE International Conference on mobile radio communication, 1–5.

[24] X. Co, H. Zhang, J. Shi, and G.Cui *"Cluster heads election analysis for multi-hop wireless sensor networks based on weighted graph and particle swarm optimization"*. In IEEE fourth International Conference on computing, 7, 599–603.

[25] Y. Liu, Z. Lo, K. Xu and L. chen; *"A reliable clustering algorithm base on LEACH protocol in wireless mobile sensor networks"* 2nd International Conference on Mechanical and Electrical Technology (ICMET), 2010, pp 692 – 696.

[26] H. Gou and Y. Yoo *"An Energy Balancing LEACH Algorithm for Wireless Sensor Networks"* In seventh international conference on, Information Technology: New Generations (ITNG), 2010, pp. 822-827.

ABOUT AUTHOR:

Anandan. K is pursuing his M.Tech. in Networking from SMVEC, Pondicherry. He Received his B.Tech. Degree in Computer Science Engineering from SMVEC (Affiliated to Pondicherry University) in 2013. His areas of interest are WSNs, Wireless communication and networks.

Ram Kumar is pursuing his M.Tech. in Networking from SMVEC, Pondicherry. He Received his B.Tech. Degree in Information Technology from SJCET (Affiliated to Anna University) in 2012. His areas of interest are WSNs, Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks.

