



## Impact of Multi-DGs in 14-Bus Distribution System

Sakshi Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Raman Kamboj<sup>2</sup>, Harinder Singh Sandhu<sup>3</sup>

M.Tech Student, Electrical Engg. Deptt., PTU, AIET, Faridkot, Punjab, India<sup>1</sup>,

Assistant professor, Electrical Engg. Deptt., PTU, AIET, Faridkot, Punjab, India<sup>2</sup>

Assistant professor, Electrical Engg. Deptt., PTU, AIET, Faridkot, Punjab, India<sup>3</sup>

---

**Abstract**—Distributed or dispersed generation (DG) is small-scale power generation system that is typically connected in the distribution system. It is also known as embedded generation (EG). It is usually connected in the distribution system to improve the reliability, to increase the voltage profile and to reduce the line losses of the system. These impacts could be achieved with optimal allocation and size of DG. Power losses are categorized as real power loss and reactive power loss. The resistance of lines gives rise to the real power loss and reactive power loss is caused due to reactive elements embedded in the system. Power loss in a system could be reduced by controlling the reactive power and regulating the node voltages. To study the power loss, load flow analysis is done using the Newton-Raphson method. In this paper, the investigation is done to study the impact of multi DGs on power losses. The study has been carried out on 14 bus system. The analysis of the results showed that by increasing the number of DGs in the system to reduce losses is not beneficial. Beside the optimal location and size of DG for the loss reduction in distribution system, number of DG is also vital factor. In this paper, it is concluded that the optimal number of DGs are also important parameter to reduce the losses.

**Keywords**—Multi-DGs, Newton-Raphson method, Voltage profile improvement, Power loss, Line loss reduction index

---

### I. INTRODUCTION

DG is small-scale power generation system that is typically used to connect or embedded in the distribution system. It is also known as dispersed generation or embedded generation (EG). DG makes use of any modular technology that is sited in the distributed system area to lower the cost of service and to enhance the system voltage [1]. The introduction of distributed generation sources on the distribution system can extensively affect the flow of power and voltage conditions at the load centre that is at customers and utility equipments. Depending on the distribution system operating conditions and the DG characteristics, these impacts may manifest themselves either positively or negatively. Positive impacts are generally called system support benefits while the negative impact reduces the system performance. Positive impacts of DG include namely improved reliability, voltage profile improvement, and loss minimization [2].

DG facilities help to improve grid reliability by placing an additional generation system closer to the load centre. It also helps in reducing the impacts from transmission and distribution (T&D) system disturbances, and peak-period conditions on the local grid, as DG will compensate these impacts. Furthermore, instead of single large central plants, multiple units at a suitable site in the distributed system can increase reliability by dispersing the capacity across several units [3]. Optimum DG allocation may improve voltage profile and minimize power loss, but it depends on the size and location of DG at the distribution network. It is very essential aspect in the planning and operation of distribution system. Because DG can provide a portion of real and reactive power to the load close by which helps to improve the voltage profile of the network. The major problem which is noticed in the distribution network is that of voltage drop that must be controlled to keep the voltages at load points within pre-specified limits. The voltage control means reactive power control. The voltage drop difficulty increases due to long distance of between feeder and source. By controlling the reactive power and regulating the node voltages power loss can be controlled and this can be achieved by inserting DG in the system [4].

Generally, DG helps to decrease current flow in the feeders there by reducing the power losses. In electrical system, the line loss occurs when current flows through distribution systems. The amount of losses depends on current flow and line resistance, which can be reduced by decreasing line current or resistance or both. DG minimizes line loss because it decreases current flow in some part of the network. However, DG may increase or reduce losses, depending on the location, capacity of DG and the size of DG, as well as on the topology of the network and the system configuration [5]. Power loss in a system depends on several factors such, such as level of losses through transmission and distribution lines, transformers, capacitors, insulators etc. Power losses are categorized as real power loss and reactive power loss. The resistance of lines gives rise to the real power loss and reactive power loss is caused due to reactive elements embedded in the system. Real power loss reduces the effectiveness of the energy send out to the customers. Reactive power loss is also significant as it affects the system voltage. So, it is necessary to maintain the reactive power flow in the system at a certain level for adequate voltage profile. The intensity of power losses also depend on different types of loads linked to distribution feeders [4]. The objective of this paper is to investigate the effect number of DGs in order to obtain minimum

losses in the system. The load flow analysis is given in section II. The methodology is given in section III, results and discussion in section IV along with conclusion in section V.

## II. LOAD FLOW

Generally, there are four quantities associated with each bus in the load flow study, which are listed below:

1. Real Power, P
2. Reactive Power, Q
3. Voltage Magnitude, V
4. Voltage Angle,  $\delta$




Fig. 1 Basic power distribution system [8]

In every electrical system, two out of these four quantities will be given and the remaining two will be unknowns. Based on this fact, there are three types of line buses: slack bus, generator bus, and load bus.

Slack or Swing bus is also known as the reference bus. It is connected to a generator of high rating to the other generators. The voltage of slack bus is always specified and remains constant in magnitude as well as in angle. Thus in slack bus, the voltage magnitude and voltage phase are known, while real and reactive powers are obtained through the load flow analysis of the system. Mostly, Generator or Voltage Controlled bus is connected to a generator where the voltage is controlled using the excitation and the power is controlled using the prime mover control. In this bus system the voltage magnitude referred as the generator voltage while real power refers to its rating are specified while the reactive power generation and phase angle of the bus voltage are obtained. Sometimes, this bus is connected to a VAR device where the voltage can be controlled by varying the value of the injected VAR to the bus. In Load bus system no generator is connected to this bus. Here, the real and reactive power is specified while the voltage magnitude and phase angle are obtained through load flow solutions. [6], [7].

In a three phase ac power system, through complex network of different buses and branches, active and reactive power flow from the generating station to the load centre. The flow of this active and reactive power in the electrical network is known as power flow or load flow. Power flow studies are based on a nodal voltage analysis of a power system. [8]. During the planning and operation of power distribution system, power flow analysis is widely used by power distribution professional. Power flow studies provide an efficient mathematical approach for determination of various bus voltages, their phase angles, active and reactive power flows through different branches, generators and loads under steady state condition. There are three methods for load flow studies mainly: Gauss siedel method, Newton-Raphson method, and Fast decoupled method [6].

## III. METHODOLOGY

In this paper, the investigation was done to study the impact of multi DGs on power losses. The study has been carried out on 14 bus system. Newton-Raphson method was applied for load flow analysis. The investigation started with the insertion of DG at different locations in 14 bus system. By inserting DG1 at different locations, the minima point was found. This minima location was kept fixed for the insertion of next DG and then again the study was carried on with the insertion of DG2 at different locations to find the minima location point. The location was again kept fixed for the next DGs and the process was repeated with total eight DGs. Fig. 2 shows the overall steps of experiment.




Fig. 2 Overall steps to study impact of number of DGs

#### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

After inserting DGs overall losses were calculated using Newton-Raphson method. Line Loss Reduction Index (LLRI) and percent decrease was also calculated which is shown in Table I. It could be seen from the table that the line loss reduction was significant up to DG5 and after that insertion of further more DGs, there is no such significant decrease in LLRI. DG6 and DG7 showed small decrease in the LLRI and after adding DG8, there occurred an increase in the losses.

Table I: Analysis were carried out on 14 bus data system to find the impact of multi DGs

DGs	LLRI	Percent decrease
DG1	0.824	17.615
DG2	0.681	31.900
DG3	0.590	40.955
DG4	0.526	47.396
DG5	0.471	52.898
DG6	0.441	55.873
DG7	0.434	56.550
DG8	0.442	55.775

From Fig. 3, it can also be concluded, that with increase in the number of DGs, the percent decrease in the losses was significant up to DG6 and after that insertion of further more DGs didn't show any major decrease in the line losses. As it could be seen in that DG6 and DG7 showed almost same values of the percent decrease and after adding DG8, the percent decrease of losses is decreased. So, from economic point of view as well, it is not beneficial to use a large number of DGs to reduce the losses.




Fig. 3 Impact of number of DGs

#### V. CONCLUSION

Investigation was carried out to explore the optimal number of DGs in the 14 bus system. The analysis of the results showed that by increasing the number of DGs in the system to reduce losses is not beneficial. Beside the optimal location and size of DG for the loss reduction in distribution system, number of DG is also very important aspect. Thus In this paper, it is concluded that the optimal number of DGs must also be used to reduce the losses in the system.

#### References

- [1] M. P. Lalitha, V. C. V. Reddy, and V. Usha, "Optimal DG Placement for Minimum Real Power Loss in Radial Distribution Systems Using PSO," *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, pp. 107, 2010.
- [2] P. P. Barker and R. W. De Mello, "Determining the Impact of Distributed Generation on Power Systems: Part 1 - Radial Distribution Systems," *Power engineering society summer meeting, IEEE*, vol. 3, pp.1645, 2000.
- [3] T. Pujhari, "Islanding Detection in Distributed Generation," *Power Systems*, 2009.
- [4] N. Ghosh, S. Sharma, and S. Bhattacharjee, "A Load Flow based Approach for Optimum Allocation of Distributed Generation Units in the Distribution Network for Voltage Improvement and Loss Minimization," *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol.50, pp. 15, 2012.
- [5] N. Rugthaicharoencheep and S. Auchariyametr, "Technical and Economic Impacts of Distributed Generation on Distribution System," *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, vol. 64, pp. 288, 2012.
- [6] B. S. Hota and A. K. Mallick, "Load Flow Study in Power System," PhD dissertation, Department of Electrical Engineering National Institute of Technology Rourkela, 2011.
- [7] Load Flow Analysis, EE342 – Electrical Power Lab.
- [8] S. Kour, R. Kamboj, and J. B. Singh, "Optimal DG Placement for Minimum Real Power Loss Using BFO," *International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering*, vol. 2, pp. 3883, 2013.