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Abstract—In this paper, generalized fuzzy Neutrosophic soft sets and relations on generalized fuzzy Neutrosophic soft sets are defined and a few of their properties are studied. An application of generalized fuzzy Neutrosophic soft sets in decision making with respect to degree of preference is investigated.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In real life situation, most of the problems in economics, social science, medical science, environment etc. have various uncertainties. However, most of the existing mathematical tools for formal modelling, reasoning and computing are crisp, deterministic and precise in character. There is theories viz. theory of probability, evidence, fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy set, vague set, interval mathematics, rough set for dealing with uncertainties. These theories have their own difficulties [8]. In 1999, Molodtsov [8] initiated a novel concept of soft set theory, which is completely new approach for modelling vagueness and uncertainties. Soft set theory has a rich potential for application in solving practical problems. The intuitionistic fuzzy set handle the incomplete information considering the truth membership value and falsity value. Smarandache introduced the concept of neutrosophic set, which handles indeterminate and imprecise data [11]. A new notion of Fuzzy Neutrosophic soft set and its basic operations and results in Fuzzy Neutrosophic soft sets are obtained [1]. In this paper, we have introduced generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set. Our definition is more realistic since it contains a degree of preference corresponding to each parameter. Relations on generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set are defined and a few of its properties are studied. An application of generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set in decision making is presented.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: [8] Let U be the initial universe set and E be a set of parameters. Let P(U) denote the power set of U. Consider a non-empty set A, A ⊆ E : A pair (F, A) is called a soft set over U, where F is a mapping given by F: A → P(U)

Definition 2.2: [12] A Neutrosophic set A on the universe of discourse X is defined as

\[ A = \{ (x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x)) : x \in X \} \]

where \( T, I, F : X \rightarrow [0,1] \) and 0 ≤ \( T_A(x) + I_A(x) + F_A(x) \) ≤ 3

Definition 2.3: [1] A Fuzzy Neutrosophic set A on the universe of discourse X is defined as

\[ A = \{ (x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x)) : x \in X \} \]

where \( T, I, F : X \rightarrow [0,1] \) and 0 ≤ \( T_A(x) + I_A(x) + F_A(x) \) ≤ 3

Definition 2.4: [1] Let U be the initial universe set and E be a set of parameters. Consider a non-empty set A, A ⊆ E. Let P(U) denotes the set of all fuzzy neutrosophic sets of U. The collection \( (F, A) \) is termed to be the fuzzy neutrosophic soft set over U, where F is defined by F: A → P(U)

Definition 2.5: [1] A fuzzy neutrosophic soft set A is contained in another fuzzy neutrosophic soft set B. (i.e.) A ⊆ B if

\[ \forall x \in X, T_A(x) \leq T_B(x), I_A(x) \leq I_B(x), F_A(x) \geq F_B(x) \]

is continuous t-norm if * satisfies the following conditions:

(i) * is commutative and associative.(ii) * is continuous .
(iii) a * 1 = a ∀ a ∈ [0, 1]. (iv) a * b ≤ a ≤ c whenever a = c, b ≤ d and a, b, c, d ∈ [0, 1].

Examples of continuous t-norm are a * b = ab, a * b = min\{a, b\}, a * b = max\{a + b - 1, 0\}.

Definition 2.6: [11] A binary operation *: \[ [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \]

is continuous t-conorm if * satisfies the following conditions:

(i) * is commutative and associative.(ii) * is continuous.(iii) a * 0 = a ∀ a ∈ [0, 1].
(iv) a * b ≤ a * c whenever a ≤ c, b ≤ d and a, b, c, d ∈ [0, 1].

Examples of continuous t-conorm are a*b = a + b - ab, a*b = max\{a, b\}, a*b = min\{a + b, 1\}.

We further assume that (C_i) a * a = a, (C_2) a * a = a.
III. GENERALIZED FUZZY NEUTROSOPHIC SOFT SETS

Definition 3.1: Let $U$ be the universal set and $E$ be the set of parameters. Let $A \subseteq E$ and $F : A \rightarrow P(U)$ and $\alpha$ be a fuzzy subset of $A$ i.e., $\alpha : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where $P(U)$ is the collection of all fuzzy neutrosophic subset of $U$. Let $F_{\alpha} : A \rightarrow P(U) \times [0, 1]$ be a function defined as follows: $F_{\alpha}(a) = [[x, T_{H(a)}(x), I_{H(a)}(x), F_{H(a)}(x)], \alpha(a)]$ where $T, I, F$ denotes the Truth value, indeterminate value and false value. Then $F_{\alpha}$ is called a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set over $(U, E)$. Here for each parameter $e_i$, $F_{\alpha}(e_i)$ indicates not only degree of belongingness of the elements of $U$ in $F(a)$ but also degree of preference of such belongingness which is represented by $\alpha(e_i)$.

Example 3.2: Let $U = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\}$ be the set of students under consideration for the best student of an academic year with respect to the given parameters $A \subseteq E$ and $A = \{r = \text{"result", c = \"conduct", g =\"games and sports performances\"}\}$. Let $\alpha : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be given as follows: $\alpha(r) = 0.7$, $\alpha(c) = 0.5$, $\alpha(g) = 0.6$.

We define $F_{\alpha}$ as follows:

- $F_{\alpha}(r) = \{(S_1, 0.8, 0.1, 0.7), (S_2, 0.9, 0.05, 0.6), (S_3, 0.85, 0.1, 0.5), (S_4, 0.75, 0.2, 0.2), \} , 0.7\}$
- $F_{\alpha}(c) = \{(S_1, 0.6, 0.3, 0.3), (S_2, 0.65, 0.25, 0.2), (S_3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.2), (S_4, 0.35, 0.65, 0.2), \} , 0.5\}$
- $F_{\alpha}(g) = \{(S_1, 0.75, 0.4, 0.2), (S_2, 0.4, 0.5, 0.3), (S_3, 0.5, 0.4, 0.3), (S_4, 0.7, 0.6, 0.2), \} , 0.6\}$

Then $F_{\alpha}$ is an generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set.

Definition 3.3: Let $F_{\alpha}$ and $G_{\beta}$ be two generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set over $(U, E)$. Now $F_{\alpha}$ is called a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set of $G_{\beta}$ if

(i) $\alpha$ is a fuzzy subset of $\beta$, (ii) $A \subseteq B$, (iii) $\forall x \in A, F(x)\subseteq G(x)$ and $G_{\beta}$ is called a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set in Example 3.2. Then $F_{\alpha}$ is called a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set.

Definition 3.5: The intersection of two generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft sets $(F, A)$ and $(G, B)$ is denoted by $(F \cap G, A \cap B)$ and defined by a fuzzy neutrosophic soft set $H : A \cap B \rightarrow P(U)$ such that for each $e \in A \cap B, H(e) = \{ (x, T_{H(e)}(x), I_{H(e)}(x), F_{H(e)}(x)) \}$ where $T_{H(e)}(x) = T_{F(e)}(x) \cap T_{G(e)}(x)$, $I_{H(e)}(x) = I_{F(e)}(x) \cap I_{G(e)}(x)$, $F_{H(e)}(x) = F_{F(e)}(x) \cap F_{G(e)}(x)$.

Definition 3.6: The union of two generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft sets $F_{\alpha}$ and $G_{\beta}$ is denoted by $(F \cup G, A \cup B)$ and defined by a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set $H : A \cup B \rightarrow P(U)$ such that for each $e \in A \cup B, H(e) = \{ (x, T_{H(e)}(x), I_{H(e)}(x), F_{H(e)}(x)) \}$ where $T_{H(e)}(x) = T_{F(e)}(x) \cup T_{G(e)}(x)$, $I_{H(e)}(x) = I_{F(e)}(x) \cup I_{G(e)}(x)$, $F_{H(e)}(x) = F_{F(e)}(x) \cup F_{G(e)}(x)$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $F_{\alpha}$, $G_{\beta}$ and $H_{\gamma}$ be any three generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set over $(U, E)$, then the following holds:

(i) $F_{\alpha} \cap G_{\beta} = G_{\beta} \cap F_{\alpha}$
(ii) $F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta} = G_{\gamma} \cap G_{\beta}$
(iii) $F_{\alpha} \cap (G_{\beta} \cup H_{\gamma}) = (F_{\alpha} \cap G_{\beta}) \cup (F_{\alpha} \cap H_{\gamma})$
(iv) $F_{\alpha} \cap (G_{\beta} \cap H_{\gamma}) = (F_{\alpha} \cap G_{\beta}) \cap H_{\gamma}$.

Remark 3.9: Let $F_{\alpha}$, $G_{\beta}$ and $H_{\gamma}$ be any three generalized fuzzy soft sets over $(U, E)$, if we consider $a \neq b = \text{min} \{a, b\}$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$ then the following holds:

(i) $F_{\alpha} \cap (G_{\beta} \cup H_{\gamma}) = (F_{\alpha} \cap G_{\beta}) \cup (F_{\alpha} \cap H_{\gamma})$
(ii) $F_{\alpha} \cap (G_{\beta} \cap H_{\gamma}) = (F_{\alpha} \cap G_{\beta}) \cap (F_{\alpha} \cap H_{\gamma})$

But in general above relations does not hold.

IV. RELATIONS ON GENERALIZED FUZZY NEUTROSOPHIC SOFT SETS

Definition 4.1: Let $U$ be an universal initial set and $E$ be the set of parameters. Let $A, B \subseteq E$ and $(F, A), (G, B)$ be two generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft sets over $(U, E)$. Then the Cartesian product of $(F, A)$ and $(G, B)$ is denoted by $(F \times G, A \times B)$ where $A \times B$ is a set of all ordered pairs $(a, b)$ such that $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then the Cartesian product of $(F, A)$ and $(G, B)$ is defined as $(F \times G, A \times B)$.

Definition 4.3: Let $(F, A), (G, B)$ be two generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft sets over $(U, E)$. Then a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft relation from $(F, A)$ to $(G, B)$ is defined as $R^{-1} (a, b) = \{ (b, a) \}$.

Proposition 4.7: If $R$ is a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft relation from $(F, A)$ to $(G, B)$ then $R^{-1}$ is defined as $(F, A) 
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fuzzy neutrosophic soft relation from (G, B) to (F, A).

**Proposition 4.8:** If \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) be two generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft relations from (F, A) to (G, B) then (i) \( R_1^{-1} \circ R_1 = R_1 \) and (ii) \( R_1 \subseteq R_2 \Rightarrow R_1^{-1} \subseteq R_2^{-1} \).

**Definition 4.9:** The composition \( \circ \) of two generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft relations \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) is defined by (\( R_1 \circ R_2 \)) (a, c) = \( R_1 (a, b) \cap R_2 (b, c) \) where \( R_1 \) is a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft relation form (F, A) to (G, B) and \( R_2 \) is a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft relation from (G, B) to (H, C).

**Proposition 4.10:** If \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) be two generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft relations from (F, A) to (G, B) then \( (R_1 \circ R_2)^{-1} = R_2^{-1} \circ R_1^{-1} \).

**Theorem 4.11:** \( R_1 \) is a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft relation form \( (F, A) \) to \( (G, B) \) satisfying \( (C_1) \) and \( (C_2) \) and \( R_2 \) is a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft relation from \( (G, B) \) to \( (H, C) \) satisfying \( (C_1) \) and \( (C_2) \) then \( R_1 \circ R_2 \) is a generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft relation from \( (F, A) \) to \( (H, C) \).

**Definition 4.12:** A generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft relation \( R \) on \( (F, A) \) is said to be generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft symmetric relation if \( R(a, b) = R(b, a) \) for all \( a, b \in A \).

**Proposition 4.14:** If \( R \) is symmetric and if only if \( R^{-1} \) is so.

**Proposition 4.15:** If \( R \) is symmetric if and only if \( R = R^{-1} \).

**Proposition 4.16:** If \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are symmetric relations on \( (F, A) \) then \( R_1 \circ R_2 \) is symmetric on \( (F, A) \) if and only if \( R_1 \circ R_2 = R_2 \circ R_1 \).

**Corollary 4.17:** If \( R \) is symmetric then \( R^n \) is symmetric for all positive integer \( n \), where \( R^n = R \circ R \circ \cdots \circ R \) (n times).

**Proposition 4.18:** If \( R \) is transitive then \( R^{-1} \) is also transitive.

**Proposition 4.19:** If \( R \) is reflexive then \( R^{-1} \) is so.

V. AN APPLICATION OF GENERALIZED FUZZY NEUTROSOPHIC SOFT SET IN DECISION MAKING

There are many applications of fuzzy neutrosophic soft set theory to deal with uncertainties. Here we present such an application for solving a socialistic decision making problem.

Suppose there are six colleges in the universe \( U = \{ C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6 \} \) and the parameter set \( E = \{ e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6 \} \), where \( 1 \leq i \leq 6 \) indicates an attribute of \( C_1 \). \( 1 \leq i \leq 6 \). \( e_1 \) stands for percentage, \( e_2 \) stands for excellence in communication skill, \( e_3 \) stands for regularity in attendance, \( e_4 \) communication skill, \( e_5 \) stands for technical skill, \( e_6 \) stands for logical skill.

Suppose a concern X wants to sponsor candidates from a single college Y and their wishing parameters are \( A = \{ e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \} \) and \( A \subseteq E \).

Let the preference of the criteria for the company has been described by the fuzzy subset \( \preceq: A \longrightarrow [0,1] \) of A as follow \( \alpha(e_1) = 0.8 \), \( \alpha(e_2) = 0.7 \), \( \alpha(e_3) = 0.5 \), \( \alpha(e_4) = 0.6 \). Consider the generalized FNSS \( F_{\infty} \) as the collection of

\[
F_{\infty}(e_1) = \{(C_1,0.3,0.3,0.4) (C_2,0.6,0.4,0.1) (C_3,0.2,0.1,0.0) (C_4,0.9,0.9,0.4) (C_5,0.6,0.3,0.1) (C_6,0.7,0.2,0.1)\},0.8
\]

\[
F_{\infty}(e_2) = \{(C_1,0.3,0.4,0.5) (C_2,0.2,0.1,0.7) (C_3,0.3,0.4,0.4) (C_4,0.8,0.8,0.3) (C_5,0.9,0.7,0.1) (C_6,0.2,0.3,0.1)\},0.7
\]

\[
F_{\infty}(e_3) = \{(C_1,0.8,0.6,0.2) (C_2,0.2,0.2,0.8) (C_3,0.3,0.4,0.5) (C_4,0.7,0.7,0.3) (C_5,0.8,0.7,0.4) (C_6,0.4,0.2,0.3)\},0.6
\]

\[
F_{\infty}(e_4) = \{(C_1,0.3,0.3,0.4) (C_2,0.5,0.3,0.4) (C_3,0.7,0.5,0.4) (C_4,0.8,0.5,0.2) (C_5,0.6,0.4,0.5) (C_6,0.7,0.6,0.1)\},0.5
\]

**Tabular representation of the Generalized Fuzzy Neutrosophic soft set \( F_a \)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COLLEGES</th>
<th>( e_1 ), ( \alpha(e_1) = 0.5 )</th>
<th>( e_2 ), ( \alpha(e_2) = 0.6 )</th>
<th>( e_3 ), ( \alpha(e_3) = 0.7 )</th>
<th>( e_4 ), ( \alpha(e_4) = 0.8 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_1</td>
<td>(0.3,0.3,0.4)</td>
<td>(0.8,0.6,0.2)</td>
<td>(0.3,0.4,0.5)</td>
<td>(0.2,0.3,0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_2</td>
<td>(0.5,0.3,0.4)</td>
<td>(0.2,0.2,0.8)</td>
<td>(0.2,0.1,0.7)</td>
<td>(0.6,0.4,0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_3</td>
<td>(0.7,0.5,0.4)</td>
<td>(0.3,0.4,0.5)</td>
<td>(0.3,0.4,0.4)</td>
<td>(0.2,0.1,0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_4</td>
<td>(0.8,0.5,0.2)</td>
<td>(0.7,0.7,0.3)</td>
<td>(0.8,0.8,0.3)</td>
<td>(0.9,0.9,0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_5</td>
<td>(0.6,0.4,0.5)</td>
<td>(0.8,0.7,0.4)</td>
<td>(0.9,0.7,0.1)</td>
<td>(0.6,0.3,0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_6</td>
<td>(0.7,0.6,0.1)</td>
<td>(0.4,0.2,0.3)</td>
<td>(0.2,0.3,0.1)</td>
<td>(0.7,0.2,0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLEGES</td>
<td>$e_1$, $a(e_1) = 0.5$</td>
<td>$e_1$, $a(e_1) = 0.6$</td>
<td>$e_2$, $a(e_2) = 0.7$</td>
<td>$e_1$, $a(e_1) = 0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_3$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_4$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_5$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maximum score = 2.6.
Decision: the concern x will select the college $C_4$.

VI. CONCLUSION

A new type of sets called generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft sets are introduced. Relations on generalized fuzzy neutrosophic soft set are defined and a few of its properties are studied. A new method in decision making via generalized neutrosophic soft is proposed. It is expected this method will be an efficient tool for decision making problems.
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