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Abstract—In this paper, we present an approach using database clauses that permits to enrich technique of query optimization existing in the object-oriented databases and the comparative analysis of query optimization for relational databases and object oriented database based on cost, cardinality and no of bytes. Focus is on queries using where, group-by and having clauses. Our experimental study shows that the improvement in the quality of plans is significant only with decrease in cost. Looking at the success of query optimization in the relational model, our approach inspires itself of these optimization techniques and enriched it so that they can support the new concepts introduced by the object oriented databases.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Query optimization plays an important role in database system, without which performance of database system will not be yield very significant improvement. Conventional optimization techniques used in relational database systems were not design to cope with heterogeneous structures and of particular not suitable to handle collection objects[14]. Group-by clause is used to group the rows in a table based on certain criteria. The grouping criteria are specified in the form of an expression. A group-by query groups the data from its source tables and produces a single summary row for each row group. The columns named in the group by clause are called grouping columns of the query. A decision-support system use the SQL operation of group-by and aggregate functions extensively in formulating queries. For example, queries that create summary data are of great importance in data ware house applications. These queries partition data in several groups (e.g. in business sectors) and aggregate on some attributes (e.g. sum of total sales).

A recent study of customer. queries in DB2[2] have found that the group-by construct occurs in a large fraction of SQL queries used in decision-support applications. Therefore, efficient processing and optimization of queries with group-by and aggregation are of significant importance[3]. For a single-block SQL query, the group-by operator is traditionally executed after all the join have been processed. Conventional relational optimizers do not exploit the knowledge about the group-by clause in a query. In this paper, we present significant techniques for processing and optimization of queries with group-by. Using select statement, we selected specific rows from a table that satisfied some conditions. The columns named in the select statement in the select statement.

Next two sections describe Application and Preliminaries Notation. Section IV reviews the optimization technique. Section V establishes the Experimental setup. Section VI includes the Query Evaluation aspects. Section VII discusses the Statistical estimates. Section VIII list optimizer hints for estimating cardinality of different plans. Finally, Section IX concludes the paper. We refered the recent paper[4], Yan and Larson identified a transformation that enables pushing the group-by past joins. Their approach is based on deriving two queries, one with and the other without a group-by clause, from the given SQL query. The result of the given query is obtained by joining the two queries so formed. Thus, in their approach, given a query, there is a unique alternate placement for the group-by operator. Observe that the transformation reduces the space of choices for join ordering since the ordering is considered only within each query. Prior work on group-by has addressed the problem of pipelining group-by and aggregation with join[5, 6] as well as use of group-by to flatten nested SQL queries[7, 5, 8, and 9]. But, these problems are orthogonal to the problem of optimizing queries containing group-by clause.

II. APPLICATION
We are considering an example of retail banking system. The bank is organized into various branches and each branch located in a particular city and monitors the assets. Bank customers are identified by their cust-id values. Bank offers two type of accounts i.e. saving account & checking account with loan facility thus the object with and attributes in the schema are:
Transformations: To begin with we observe that, since a group-by reduces the cardinality of a relation, an early evaluation of group-by could result in potential saving in the costs of the subsequent joins. We present an example that illustrates a transformation based on the above fact. An appropriate application of such a transformation could result in plans that are superior to the plans produced by conventional optimizers by an order of magnitude or more.

Example: Consider the query that computes count of branches located in a particular city and total count of branches in each city. Many alternative plans are possible. We consider the following: First, group-by clause applied after condition and hence search time is more and CPU cost is high. In other words we first check the condition and then group on branch city. Second, group-by clause applied before condition hence search time is less and CPU cost is less. Here we group on branch city first and then check the condition. Third we split conditional operator into two separate symbols and performance were measured.

III. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

We will follow the operational semantics associated with SQL queries [10, 11]. We assume that the query is a single block SQL query, as below

```sql
SELECT All <columnlist> AGG1(bl) .. AGG2(bn)
FROM <tablelist>
WHERE cond1 And cond2 . . . And condn
GROUP BY col1 .. col2 HAVING condition
```

The WHERE clause of the query is a conjunction of simple predicates. SQL semantics require that <columnlist> must be among col1, .. colj. In the above notation, AGG1, .. AGGn represent built-in SQL aggregate functions. In this paper, we will not be discussing the cases where there is an ORDER BY clause in the query. We will also assume that there are no nulls in the database. These extensions are addressed in [12]. We refer to columns in [b1, .. bn] as the aggregating columns of the query. The columns in (col1, .. colj) are called grouping columns of the query. The functions {AGG1, .. AGGn} are called the aggregating functions of the query. For the purposes of this paper, we included Count as well as cases where the aggregate functions apply on columns with the qualifier. Having imposes a condition on the group by clause, which further filters the groups created by the group by.

IV. OPTIMIZATION

To illustrate the regular RDBMS and the object oriented query optimizations, we consider a typical Retail Banking System, as the database.

```sql
SELECT All <columnlist> AGG1(bl) .. AGG2(bn)
FROM <tablelist>
WHERE cond1 And cond2 . . . And condn
GROUP BY col1 .. col2 HAVING condition
```

Fig 1: Object Considered for banking system

Fig 2: The typical query under consideration

Fig 3: Object Oriented Schema for Retail Banking
A. Query Optimization in RDB

As an example, consider the query to find the number of branch in each city except pune.

We wrote different query evaluation plans and tested them on a Oracle10g environment. We propose to do the same with an OODB later. The various equivalent query evaluation plans for RDB could be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan 1</th>
<th>Plan 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Select /*+index(rbranch)*/ branch_city , count(*)
From rbranch
Where branch_city != 'pune' group by branch_city | Select /*+ index(rbranch)*/ branch_city,count(*)
From rbranch Group by branch_city
Having Branch_city != 'pune' |

Plan3

Select /*+ index_combine(rbranch) */ branch_city,
count(*) From rbranch
Where branch_city < 'pune' or branch_city > 'pune'
Group by branch_city

The Algebraic expression trees for various plans are:

Algebraic expression tree for plan 1

\[ \sigma_{\text{branch-city} \neq \text{pune}} \]

Algebraic expression tree plan 2

\[ \sigma_{\text{branch-city} \neq \text{pune}} \]

Algebraic expression tree plan 3

\[ \sigma_{\text{branch-city} < \text{pune} \text{ or branch-city} > \text{pune}} \]

The execution plans obtained using oracles 10g for above cases are:

Execution Plan for Plan 1

0 SELECT STATEMENT Optimizer=CHOOSE
   (Cost=830 Card=2 Bytes=34)
1 0 SORT (GROUP BY) (Cost=830 Card=2 Bytes=34)
2 1 TABLE ACCESS (BY INDEX ROWID) OF 'RBRANCH' (Cost=826 Card=2 Bytes=34)
3 2 INDEX (FULL SCAN) OF 'SYS_C002720' (UNIQUE) (Cost=26 Card=2)

Execution Plan for Plan2

0 SELECT STATEMENT Optimizer=CHOOSE
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Execution Plan for Plan 3

Plan 3 is the case where group by is delayed most.

B. Query Optimization in OODB

An OODB requires that OOTypes be created andOOTables be created. This is what we have done first.

Creation of Object Oriented Type

Create Type Branchdet_Ty as object
(Branch_City Varchar2 (30),
Assets Number (26, 2));
Create Type Accountdet_Ty as object
(Branch_Name Varchar(30),
Balance Number (12, 2));

Creation of Object Oriented Table

Create Table Branch1
(Branch_Name Varchar2 (30) Primary Key,
Branchdetail Branchdet_Ty);
Create Table Account1
(Account_Number Varchar(15),
Accountdetail Accountdet_Ty);

Now we are in a position to design query evaluation plans as required: The query evaluation plans for OODB are:

Plan1
Select /*+ index(branch1)*/
client.branchdetail.branch_city ,count(*)
From branch1 client
Where client.branchdetail.branch_city != 'pune'
Group by client.branchdetail.branch_city

Plan2
Select /*+ index(branch1)*/
client.branchdetail.branch_city ,count(*)
From branch1 client
Group by client.branchdetail.branch_city
Having
client.branchdetail.branch_city != 'pune'

Plan3
Select /*+ index_combine(client)*/
client.branchdetail.branch_city ,count(*)
From branch1 client
where client.branchdetail.branch_city != 'pune'
Group by
Client.branchdetail.branch_city

Algebraic expression tree for plan1

Algebraic expression tree for plan1

Algebraic expression tree for plan1
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client.branchdetail.branch_city != 'pune'
branch1

Algebraic expression tree for plan1

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{branchdetail.branch_city,count(*)} \\
&\text{\textit{\sigma} branchdetail.branch-city <'pune' or} \\
&\text{\textit{\sigma} branchdetail.branch-city > 'pune'} \\
&\text{branch1} \\
&\text{group-by branchdetail.branch-city}
\end{align*}
\]

The execution plans for above alternatives generated using oracle using oracle 10g are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Execution Plan for Plan1</th>
<th>Execution Plan for plan2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SELECT STATEMENT Optimizer=HINT: ALL_ROWS (Cost=5 Card=2 Bytes=34)</td>
<td>SELECT STATEMENT Optimizer=CHOOSE (Cost=5 Card=41 Bytes=697)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 0 SORT (GROUP BY) (Cost=5 Card=2 Bytes=34)</td>
<td>1 0 FILTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 1 TABLE ACCESS (FULL) OF 'BRANCH1' (Cost=1 Card=2 Bytes=34)</td>
<td>2 1 SORT (GROUP BY) (Cost=5 Card=41 Bytes=697)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 2 TABLE ACCESS (FULL) OF 'BRANCH1'</td>
<td>3 2 TABLE ACCESS (FULL) OF 'BRANCH1'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Execution Plan for Plan3

0 SELECT STATEMENT Optimizer=CHOOSE (Cost=46 Card=2 Bytes=34)
1 0 SORT (GROUP BY) (Cost=46 Card=2 Bytes=34)
2 1 TABLE ACCESS (BY INDEX ROWID) OF 'BRANCH1' (Cost=42 Card=2 Bytes=34)
3 2 BITMAP CONVERSION (TO ROWIDS)
4 3 BITMAP CONVERSION (FROM ROWIDS)
5 4 SORT (ORDER BY)
6 5 INDEX (FULL SCAN) OF 'SYS_C002715' (UNIQUE) (Cost = 33)

V. ANALYSIS: COMPARISON OF RESULTS

We did an experimental study. We achieved statistically significant improvement in the quality of plans with a modest decrease in the optimization cost. The experiments were conducted using oracle database Table: 1 shows the Query Comparisons of RDBMS & OODBMS Based on Cost, Cardinality & No of Bytes. From experimental setup we observed that there is significant improvement after query optimization in object oriented database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Query performance comparison of RDB and OODB for GROUP BY clause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relational Database (RDB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group-By Clause</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan 1</td>
<td>2512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan 2</td>
<td>2512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan 3</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VI. COST ESTIMATION

Given a query there are many equivalent alternative algebraic expression for each expression there are many ways to implement them as operators. The cost estimates are based upon I/O, CPU and Memory resources required by each query operation, and the statistical information about the database object such as Table, Indexes and Views. In a large number of systems, information on the data distribution on a column is provided by histograms. A histogram divides the values on a column into $k$ buckets. In many cases, $k$ is a constant and determines the degree of accuracy of the histogram. However, $k$ also determines the memory usage, since while optimizing a query; relevant columns of the histogram are loaded in memory. There are several choices for “bucketization” of values. In many database systems, equi-depth (also called equi-height) histograms are used to represent the data distribution on a column. If the table has $n$ records and the histogram has $k$ buckets, then an equi-depth histogram divides the set of values on that column into $k$ ranges such that each range has the same $number$ of values, i.e., $n/k$. Compressed histograms place frequently occurring values in singleton buckets.

Fig 4 and Fig 5 shows a histogram for query performance in RDB & OODB.

**Statistical Estimates**

We measured query performance using statistics measure such as Mean and Standard deviation. Mean, also known as arithmetic average, is the most common measure of central tendency and may be defined as the value which we get by dividing the total of the values of various given items in a series by the total number of items. Standard deviation is most widely used measure of dispersion of a series and is commonly denoted by ‘$\sigma$’ (pronounced as sigma). Standard deviations defined as the square-root of the average of squares of deviations, when such deviation for the values of individual items in a series is obtained from the arithmetic average. Mean and Standard deviation worked as under

\[
\text{Mean}(X) = \frac{\sum X_i}{n} = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n}{n}
\]

\[
\text{Standard Deviation} (\sigma) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n}}
\]

Table 2 defines the statistical measures of Cost, Card and Bytes for P1, P2 and P3. The Cost, Card and Bytes obtained after optimization in object oriented database is less as compared to Cost, Card and Bytes obtained in relational database using group by clause.

Fig 4: Query performance histogram for RDB

![Query performance histogram for RDB](image)

Fig 5: Query performance histogram for OODB

![Query performance histogram for OODB](image)
VII. OPTIMIZER HINTS

While generating different query plans we use Optimizer hints. Hints make decisions usually made by the optimizer. Hints provide a mechanism to the optimizer to choose a certain query execution plan based on the specific criteria. [13] Hints falls into the following general classifications: Single-table hints are specified on one table or view. INDEX and USE_NL are examples of single-table hints. Multi-table hints are like single-table hints, except that the hint can specify one or more tables or views. The USE_NL is not considered a multi-table hint because it is actually a shortcut for USE_NL and USE_NL Query block hints operate on single query blocks. STAR_TRANSFORMATION and UNNEST are examples of query block hints. Statement hints apply to the entire SQL statement. ALL_ROWS is an example of a statement hint. Hint Syntax can send hints for a SQL statement to the optimizer by enclosing them in a comment within the statement. A block in a statement can have only one comment containing hints following the SELECT, UPDATE, MERGE, or DELETE keyword.

Following types of hints we use in our experimentation.

The ALL_ROWS hint explicitly chooses the query optimization approach to optimize a statement block with a goal of best throughput (that is, minimum total resource consumption). The PARALLEL hint lets you specify the desired number of concurrent servers that can be used for a parallel operation. The hint applies to the SELECT, INSERT, UPDATE, and DELETE portions of a statement, as well as to the table scan portion. If any parallel restrictions are violated then the hint is ignored. The INDEX_FFS hint causes a fast full index scan to be performed rather than a full table scan. The INDEX hint explicitly chooses an index scan for the specified table. we use the INDEX hint for domain, B-tree, bitmap, and bitmap join indexes. However, Oracle recommends using INDEX_COMBINE rather than INDEX for the combination of multiple indexes, because it is a more versatile hint. The INDEX_DESC hint explicitly chooses an index scan for the specified table. If the statement uses an index range scan, then Oracle scans the index entries in descending order of their indexed values, in a partitioned index, the results are in descending order within each partition.

The INDEX_COMBINE hint explicitly chooses a bitmap access path for the table. If no indexes are given as arguments for the INDEX_COMBINE hint, then the optimizer uses whatever Boolean combination of indexes has the best cost estimate for the table. If certain indexes are given as arguments, then the optimizer tries to use some Boolean combination of those particular indexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plans</th>
<th>Indexing Hint</th>
<th>Cardinality</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Affected</td>
<td>Not-affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan 1</td>
<td>/*+ ALL_ROWS */</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan 2</td>
<td>/*+ PARALLEL(CLIENT, 2) */</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan 3</td>
<td>/*+ INDEX_FFS(CLIENT) */</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan 4</td>
<td>/*+ INDEX(CLIENT) */</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan 5</td>
<td>/*+ INDEX_DESC(CLIENT) */</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan 6</td>
<td>/*+ INDEX_COMBINE(CLIENT) */</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Measures of Cardinality for P1, P2, P3, P4, P5 and P6 using Indexing Hint
Table 3 shows measures of cardinality for P1, P2, P3, P4, P5 and P6 using various indexing hint. We constructed six queries Plans and uses six different types of indexing hints and measured the cardinality performance. Fig 6 shows cardinality performance histogram for object oriented database, we observed that out of six plans cardinality is not change in five cases; hence cardinality in the object oriented database does not affected when the indexing method is change.

**VIII. CONCLUSIONS**

One of the biggest problems in Object Oriented Database is the optimization of queries. Due to these problems optimization of object-oriented queries is extremely hard to solve and is still in the research stage. This work is expected to be a significant contribution to the Database Management area which will not only reduce time or efforts but will also improve the quality and will reduce the cost. From above results we could conclude that first if the group-by clause applied before conditional statement then there is significant cost reduction and second cardinality in the object oriented database does not affected when the indexing methods are change.
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**Appendix 1: Queries Processing Used for Testing for RDB**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Query Plans</th>
<th>Query Statement</th>
<th>Query Plans</th>
<th>Query Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Plan1       | SELECT /*+ INDEX(RBRANCH)*/  
BRANCH_CITY,COUNT(*)  
FROM RBRANCH GROUP BY  
BRANCH_CITY HAVING  
BRANCH_CITY != ‘PUNE’ | Plan10 | SELECT /*+ PARALLEL(RBRANCH1, 2)*/  
BRANCH_CITY,  
COUNT(*)  
FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH1  
WHERE RBRANCH1.ROWID IN  
(SELECT /*+ PARALLEL(RBRANCH2, 2)*/  
RBRANCH2.ROWID FROM  
RBRANCH RBRANCH2  
WHERE BRANCH_CITY < 'PUNE'  
UNION  
SELECT /*+ PARALLEL(RBRANCH3, 2)*/  
RBRANCH3.ROWID FROM  
RBRANCH RBRANCH3  
WHERE BRANCH_CITY > 'PUNE')  
GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY |
| Plan2       | SELECT /*+ ALL_ROWS */  
BRANCH_CITY, COUNT(*)  
FROM RBRANCH  
WHERE BRANCH_CITY != 'PUNE'  
GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY | Plan11 | SELECT /*+ INDEX_COMBINE(RBRANCH) */  
BRANCH_CITY,COUNT(*)  
FROM RBRANCH WHERE  
BRANCH_CITY != 'PUNE'  
GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY |
| Plan3       | SELECT /*+ INDEX_COMBINE(RBRANCH) */  
BRANCH_CITY, COUNT(*)  
FROM RBRANCH WHERE  
BRANCH_CITY < 'PUNE'  
OR BRANCH_CITY > 'PUNE'  
GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY | Plan12 | SELECT /*+ INDEX(RBRANCH) */  
BRANCH_CITY, COUNT(*)  
FROM RBRANCH WHERE  
BRANCH_CITY < 'PUNE'  
OR BRANCH_CITY > 'PUNE'  
GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY |
| Plan4       | SELECT /*+ PARALLEL(RBRANCH, 2) */  
BRANCH_CITY, COUNT(*)  
FROM RBRANCH WHERE BRANCH_CITY != 'PUNE'  
GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY | Plan13 | SELECT BRANCH_CITY,  
COUNT(*)  
FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH1  
WHERE RBRANCH1.ROWID IN  
(SELECT /*+ INDEX_COMBINE(RBRANCH2) */  
RBRANCH2.ROWID FROM  
RBRANCH RBRANCH2  
WHERE BRANCH_CITY < 'PUNE'  
UNION  
SELECT RBRANCH3.ROWID)  
GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>SQL Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plan5</td>
<td>SELECT /*+ PARALLEL(RBRANCH, 2) <em>/ BRANCH_CITY, COUNT(</em>) FROM RBRANCH WHERE BRANCH_CITY &lt; 'PUNE' OR BRANCH_CITY &gt; 'PUNE' GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan6</td>
<td>SELECT /*+ USE_CONCAT <em>/ BRANCH_CITY, COUNT(</em>) FROM RBRANCH WHERE BRANCH_CITY &lt; 'PUNE' OR BRANCH_CITY &gt; 'PUNE' GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan7</td>
<td>SELECT /*+ ALL_ROWS <em>/ BRANCH_CITY, COUNT(</em>) FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH1 WHERE RBRANCH1.ROWID IN (SELECT RBRANCH2.ROWID FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH2 WHERE BRANCH_CITY &lt; 'PUNE') UNION SELECT RBRANCH3.ROWID FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH3 WHERE BRANCH_CITY &gt; 'PUNE' GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan8</td>
<td>SELECT /*+ FIRST_ROWS(30) <em>/ BRANCH_CITY,COUNT(</em>) FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH1 WHERE RBRANCH1.ROWID IN (SELECT RBRANCH2.ROWID FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan14</td>
<td>SELECT BRANCH_CITY, COUNT(<em>) FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH1 WHERE RBRANCH1.ROWID IN (SELECT RBRANCH2.ROWID FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH2 WHERE BRANCH_CITY &lt; 'PUNE') UNION SELECT /</em>+ INDEX_COMBINE(RBRANCH3) */ RBRANCH3.ROWID FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH3 WHERE BRANCH_CITY &gt; 'PUNE' GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan15</td>
<td>SELECT /*+ INDEX(RBRANCH1) <em>/ BRANCH_CITY, COUNT(</em>) FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH1 WHERE RBRANCH1.ROWID IN (SELECT RBRANCH2.ROWID FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH2 WHERE BRANCH_CITY &lt; 'PUNE') UNION SELECT RBRANCH3.ROWID FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH3 WHERE BRANCH_CITY &gt; 'PUNE' GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan16</td>
<td>SELECT /*+ ALL_ROWS <em>/ BRANCH_CITY, COUNT(</em>) FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH1 WHERE RBRANCH1.ROWID IN (SELECT RBRANCH2.ROWID FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH2 WHERE BRANCH_CITY &lt; 'PUNE') UNION SELECT RBRANCH3.ROWID FROM RBRANCH RBRANCH3 WHERE BRANCH_CITY &gt; 'PUNE' GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHERE BRANCH_CITY < 'PUNE'
UNION
SELECT RBRANCH3.ROWID
FROM RBRANCH
RBRANCH3
WHERE BRANCH_CITY > 'PUNE')
GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY

Plan9
SELECT /*+ FULL(RBRANCH1) */
BRANCH_CITY,
COUNT(*)
FROM RBRANCH1
WHERE RBRANCH1.ROWID IN (SELECT RBRANCH2.ROWID
FROM RBRANCH
RBRANCH2
WHERE BRANCH_CITY < 'PUNE'
UNION
SELECT RBRANCH3.ROWID
FROM RBRANCH
RBRANCH3
WHERE BRANCH_CITY > 'PUNE')
GROUP BY BRANCH_CITY

Appendix 2: Queries Processing Used for Testing for OODB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Query Plans</th>
<th>Query Statement</th>
<th>Query Plans</th>
<th>Query Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Plan1       | SELECT /*+ INDEX(BRANCH1)*/
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY,COUNT(*)
FROM BRANCH1 CLIENT
GROUP BY
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY != 'PUNE'
| Plan5       | SELECT /*+ INDEX_DESC(CLIENT) */
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY,COUNT(*)
FROM BRANCH1 CLIENT
WHERE
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY != 'PUNE'
GROUP BY
| Plan2       | SELECT /*+ ALL_ROWS */
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY,COUNT(*)
FROM BRANCH1 CLIENT
WHERE
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY != 'PUNE'
GROUP BY
| Plan6       | SELECT /*+ INDEX_COMBINE(CLIENT) */
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY,COUNT(*)
FROM BRANCH1 CLIENT
WHERE
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY != 'PUNE'
GROUP BY
| Plan3       | SELECT /*+ INDEX_FFS(CLIENT) */
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY,COUNT(*)
| Plan7       | SELECT
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY,COUNT(*)
FROM BRANCH1 CLIENT
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| SELECT /*+ INDEX(CLIENT) */
| CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY, COUNT(*)
| FROM BRANCH1 CLIENT WHERE
| CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY != 'PUNE'
| GROUP BY
| CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY |

FROM BRANCH1 CLIENT WHERE
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY != 'PUNE'
GROUP BY
CLIENT.BRANCHDETAIL.BRANCH_CITY
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