Abstract
With the growing diverse demands for Internet applications, network security issues become more acute. To address the appropriate network security from network intrusion detection event has become an important research in network security. Among this Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) is responsible for the agreement to host the target of 32-bit IP address into the corresponding 48-bit MAC (Media Access Control) address, so as to ensure smooth communication. The ARP is a network layer protocol of the Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) that is used by hosts on a Local Area Network (LAN) to dynamically mapping an IP (Internet Protocol) address (logical address) to a MAC address (physical machine address). During communication, ARB-based attacks are caused by compromised hosts in the LAN and mainly involve spoofing with falsified IP-MAC pairs. Where LAN-based attacks are caused by compromised hosts in the LAN and mainly involve spoofing with falsified IP-MAC pairs. ARP is a stateless protocol, such attacks are possible. Network monitoring using a sniffer thread (winpcap) is an important method of network management and preserving safe operation. Hence in this paper, we discuss an algorithm to monitoring ARP spoofing attack being between systems. Also discuss a framework to intrusion detection based on DES approach. As advantage this approach does not require any extra constraint like static IP-MAC, changing the ARP or violation of network layering architecture.
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I. Introduction
With the growth of network scale, network administrators dissipate large amounts of time and costs to manage network addresses (IP/MACs). Generally ARP is responsible for the agreement to host the target of 32-bit IP address into the corresponding 48-bit MAC address, so as to ensure smooth communication. The ARP is a network layer protocol of the Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) that is used by hosts on a LAN to dynamically mapping an IP address (logical address) to a MAC address (physical machine address). However, the current state of IP address management can be said to be extremely inefficient. With the rapid development of the campus network, and network applications, the students of the campus depend on the Campus Network increasingly [1, 4]. At the same time, it is exposed numerous security issues during the running of the campus network. Currently, the ARPs spoofing attacks; man in middle attack are threatening the security of our campus network, often causing confusion within the network, and greatly disturbed the normal order of the network.

Hence as application, ARP protocol is an independent protocol that connects to physical layer and network layer directly to provide the mapping between IP and MAC addresses. When a host machine wants to know a physical address for any host in the LAN, it broadcasts an ARP request, the host that owns the IP address sends a unicast ARP reply message indicating its MAC address. Each host machine maintains a table, called ARP cache, used to convert IP addresses to MAC addresses. ARP has proved to work well under regular circumstances, but ARP is a stateless protocol, every time a host gets an ARP reply from another host, even though it has not sent an ARP request for that reply, it accepts that ARP entry and updates its ARP cache. The process of updating a target host's ARP cache with a forged entry is referred to as poisoning. The attacker sends forged ARP reply with host B's IP address and the attacker's MAC address to host A. In addition, the attacker sends a forged ARP reply with host A's IP address and the attacker's MAC address to host B. The traffic between host A and B goes through the attacker allowing sniffing. This attack can be performed between a host and a router as well. Hence this paper is organized as follows: The problem definition and ARP attacks types already discuss in section 1. Principle of ARP spoofing attack is described in section 2. Section 3 discuss also about various man in middle attacks being in network. Sections 4 explain about framework to secure against intrusion/ARP spoofing. Section 5 discuss about defence against ARP spoofing attack using winpcap. Section 6 discuss about network monitoring using sniffer thread. Finally section 7 concludes this paper.

II. The Principle of ARP Spoofing
As discussed ARP protocol is an independent protocol that connects two layers namely physical layer and network layer directly to provide the mapping between IP and MAC addresses. Among various attacks e.g. ARP spoofing attacks, man in middle attack are threatening the security of our campus network, which causing confusion within the network. And
disturbed information of one network to another network illegally. Now various points of view i.e. impacting network connection, ARP spoofing attack [7, 8] is divided into two types:

A. Cheating gateway
By forging a series of IP address and the corresponding error MAC address, and sent the forged ARP packets to gateway with certain frequency, and then the correct address information stored in gateways be refreshed by the wrong address information. As a result, the gateway will send the data to the wrong MAC address, so the normal host cannot receive the message and not access the Internet. This ARP communication provides a chance for ARP cheat [12].

B. Cheating the host of the internal network
The cheater fake gateway, and make the target host refresh its ARP cache list, by this way the cheater can intercepted the target host 'information which send to the gateway.

Hence ARP spoofing allows an attacker for DNS poisoning. DNS server returns the IP address of the corresponding DNS address to the client browser [3]. Now this section discuss about principles of ARP spoofing attack with two types, now next section of this paper discuss about consequences of various attack e.g. man in middle attack being performed over a network by an unauthentic user.

III. Consequences of Man in Middle Attack
Generally Man in Middle Attack through ARP poisoning, allows an attacker (unauthentic user) to perform passive and active attacks in the communication network between gateway and victim node. Such attack may lead to violation of privacy; steal valuable data to financial gain. ARP spoofing (DNS poisoning), Denial of service attack, HTTPS sniffing through fake SSL certificate and HTTPS sniffing through sslstriping are few of the possible consequences from many.

A. DNS poisoning
DNS poisoning performed by an attacker using ARP spoofing. DNS server returns the IP address of the corresponding DNS address to the client browser [3]. When a client wants to access particular web resources, he enters the DNS address in address bar of the browser. The browser generates DNS request to its nearest DNS server. DNS server replies to the client with the IP address of the web site. Browser connects to that corresponding web site by using the IP address.

Generally in case of DNS poisoning, an attacker (unauthentic user) behaves as a DNS server to victim. As every packet that generated by victim's system goes through attacker's (unauthentic user) system. And DNS request packet generated by victim's browser also passes through attacker's node [3]. Here instead of returning a genuine IP address of the DNS server, the attacker gives fake IP address of the DNS to the victim's node for e.g. if the victim wants to visit google.com, the attacker would provide the IP address of yahoo.com. Now the victim gets the web page from yahoo instead of getting page from google.

Attacker can also redirect to a local system in the LAN to the victim's system. Even the attacker can host a fake site in the local system and transfer fake pages to the victim's node which may have login id and password option of some popular web site. User-id and password of the victim may easily be impersonated by using this technique.

B. DOS attack
Denial of Service (DoS) attacks, where a malicious node forges a large number of fake identities, i.e., Internet Protocol (IP) addresses in order to disrupt the proper functioning of fair data transfer between two users i.e. a denial-of-service (DoS) attack is to make computer resource unavailable to its intended users. It generally involves defined internet resources and preventing desired or all internet resources to the victim. Attack involves saturating the target (victim) machine with external communications requests i.e. it cannot respond to legitimate traffic [1, 4]. The response comes so slowly as to be rendered effectively unavailable response. A DoS attack can be a partial denial of service or it can be total denial of service such as:

a) Disruption of DNS services.
b) Disruption of Local Authentication services.
c) Reduction of internet bandwidth due to imposed congestion.
d) Disruption of TCP packet or resetting of TCP sessions, without proper closing of the sessions. Such session may result in automatic restoring of the earlier session without entering login ID and password again.
e) Disruption of configuration information i.e. routing information and ARP information or malfunctioning due to lack of timely information
f) And disruption of many essential network services.

Every packet that comes from victim's node has to pass through attacker, after a successful ARP spoofing. This gives the attacker an opportunity to pass the packet to desired location or drop the packets at random, making disrupted communication.

The attacker may even drop and kill all the packets that come from victim's node making total denial of service (DoS). The victim will be prevented from accessing any internet resources. The attacker may design to drop and kill selected kind of internet packet so as to disrupt few of the essential services. Generally such types of attacks are difficult to detect early. The victim may lose valuable time before realizing that only some of the services are getting denied. This may cause a huge financial loss for users in an e-business scenario. It may lead even to fatal consequences in case of real-time applications related to healthcare and e-governance. An attacker may drop TCP packet to reset TCP session i.e. Attacker
may drop DNS packet to disrupt DNS address message passing and IP address conversation. TCP session fails to yield communication every time it opens.

C. HTTPS sniffing through ssstriping
This attack was first simulated by Moxie Marlinspike and resent in Black Hat Conference at Las Vegas in 2009 [7,17]. In this, every traffic of victim passes through attacker due to Man in Middle Attack (MITM), which facilitate attacker swap every HTTPS (Hyper Text Transfer Protocol Secured) link by HTTP link from server to victim. The attacker (unauthentic user) successfully establishes a HTTP connection between victim and himself and a secure connectivity between attacker and the HTTPS server. Generally HTTP is the entry point into any HTTPS communication, subverting HTTP allows an attacker to take control of the HTTPS communication. Moxie designed a special tool called ssstriping to perform this attack [5]. The attacker can sniff victim's password, financial information and all other valuable personal information for malicious use/financial gain through plain HTTP connection.

D. HTTPS sniffing through fakeSSL certificate
When a user accesses a web page with prefix extension of HTTPS before DNS address at the address bar of the browser, he will get a SSL certificate from the intended web server. The SSL certificate contains the public key of web server [5, 6, and 16]. This public key is used by the client browser for sending the session key to the web server in encrypted form, which will only be decrypted by the web server's private key. This process established a secure session with a valid session key, which may be renewed for future session on available options. For practice renew the session key again and again to save time in communication. This makes the session key vulnerable to perform attacks. The attacker is now able to capture all encrypted traffic from victim to web server. After a successful ARP spoofing/DNSpoisoning, the attacker (unauthentic user) could generate a fake SSL certificate i.e. forwarded to victim which also passes this request to web server. The attacker generate a fake certificate after blocking the web server certificate, for establishing a secure connection from the attacker-side to the web server through SSL (Secure Solid Layer) certificate of web server and also from victim's node to attacker through SSL certificate of the attacker. Now the attacker is able to capture all encrypted traffic from victim to web server [11]. Hence Such SSL striping may cause economic loss, especially in case of signing contracts between parties related to business transaction.

Hence this section provides details about various types of attacks of man in middle attack. Now next section discuss about a framework to detect ARP spoofing/DNS poisoning using intrusion detection algorithm and some other parameters.

IV. ARP Attack Detection with IDS Algorithm
The ARP spoofing usually modify ARP table on object by sending reply packets of ARP. The preventive measure of ARP spoofing is to statically bind MAC address and IP address [4]. Now here we present an ARP spoofing detection method/framework with considering the mapping between IP and MAC address and with also some other parameters.

As ARP spoofing modifies the mapping between IP and MAC address, location feature of transmission, two-tuples (IP, MAC), is used to select the data. Three cases will be studied:

a) Fixed IP and MAC address. This case is for most users with normal behaviour record. In IDS (Intrusion Detection System) algorithm, the average of IP and MAC address is kept unchanged and the threshold value is 0, namely IP and MAC address are one-one mapped.

b) The dynamic IP allocation by DHCP. The IP and MAC address mapping table is maintained through deleting the outdated address mapping periodically.

c) One to many mapping of IP and MAC. Under this case, white list into which the mapping of IP and MAC address is added will be applied to filter the audit data.

It can be seen that the procedure of ARP spoofing detection with IDS algorithm, shown in Fig. 1. In that, the ARP reply packets are captured through data collecting component from a communication; being on network. The source IP and MAC address are extracted from the packets and compared with the mapping table. Now if there exist one IP mapping to multiple
MAC and multiple MAC address mapping to one IP, ARP spoofing alarms will be reported with the source and destination address of the attack.

V. Defense ARP Attack Based on the Winpcap

(A) ARP virus defense principle

As discussed, ARP is an independent protocol of network layer; connects to physical layer and network layer directly to provide the mapping between IP and MAC addresses. According to ARP response packet theory, relevant source address corresponding to its target MAC address in these two protocols should be the same [13, 15]. As per the principle of actualization of TCP/IP protocol stack, upper layer protocol sends data packet to its corresponding lower layer protocol and the lower layer seals that data packet as the data of his own; when receiving data packets, every layer only handles protocol of its own. After handling this, it hands the data parts to upper layer protocol. But now during this process, cross-layer verification cannot be done. Now check relevant items in data packet when system sends or receives ARP response data packet over a network. So whether relevant source MAC address and target MAC address match or not to verified in the ARP data packet; link layer head information. Now if it does not match the information of host computer, directly block data packet and prompt the user. This check can effectively prevent users from other users' ARP virus attack and avoid users ARP virus attacking other users. This ARP communication provides a chance for ARP cheat [12]. Check rules are as follows:

a) ARP response packet sent: Check whether source MAC address in ARP packet totally matches displayed destination MAC address in link layer head information. Discard it, if not; check whether destination IP address in ARP packet totally matches destination MAC address in link layer head information [12,15]. If not, Directly discard it; check whether source MAC address in ARP data packet is the MAC address of this host, if not, discard it directly; check whether source IP address is the IP address of host, if not, directly discard it. On the basis of Host blocking attack, Host sends ARP response data packet to gateway and informs the gateway the address avoiding gateway being cheated [2].
b) The ARP response packet received: check whether source MAC address in ARP packet totally matches source MAC address in link layer head information [8]. Discard it, if not; check whether destination MAC address in ARP packet totally matches destination MAC address in link layer head information. If not, Directly discard it; check whether destination IP address is the IP address of host, if not, directly discard it.

(B) ARP deception detection

a) Active checking of host-level: Another preventive measure of ARP deception detection is to arrange host to send ARP request packet about its own IP address while starting system or periodically [2, 17]. If could receive another ARP response, report ARP deception to the host user or administrator.
b) Passive detection of host-level: Checking whether the target address matches with IP address of the local web application, we can know whether the message sent to own. If yes, we need to send an ARP response. Once the operating systems was interrupted, checking whether the sender's IP address correspondent with its own IP address, and if same, indicates that it is ARP deception [4, 7].
c) Network-level detection: To detect network level through periodical polling. Through regular review of the ARP high-speed cache, it will be able to detect these correspondence changes between IP Address in high-speed cache of these machines and hardware address [1, 9].
d) Server-class detection: In order to establish its authenticity, when the server has received the ARP response, it will regenerate a RARP request from the MAC address given by the response message according to Reverse ARP (RARP), and, which asked the question: "If you are the owner of the MAC address, please reply to your IP address".

(C) Principle of Winpcap

Generally winpcap (windows Packet capture)[3, 4] is a publicly freenetwork access system under the windows platform, which provides the following functions [5]:
a) To capture the raw datagram, including datagram sent/received by or to the hosts in the sharing network and as well as the exchange of between;
b) To filter some special datagram in accordance with the user defined rules before sent to the application process.
c) To send original datagram on the network.
d) To collect statistics in the network communication process.

Hence this section discusses various defence mechanisms against ARP spoofing attack using winpcap. Now next section discuss about network monitoring using sniffer tread (winpcap).

VI. Monitoring through Sniffer Tread

According to the agreement of the ARP packet, copy the corresponding value of the source network card physical address, target network card physical address, the agreement type of ARPPacket presented on a network, the type of agreement address, the type of hardware address, operated field, the network card physical address of the sending side, the IP of the sending side, to the head of ARP, and the target IP, the target network card physical address. Finally constitute the ARPPacket [15]. Now after the integrally constituting the ARP packet, call PacketInitPacket (lppackets,sendbuf , sizeof(eth) + sizeof(APR) ) in Winpcap to bind the string “sendbuf” of the ARP packet to the packet lppackets of Winpcap. Then call PacketSendPacket to send lppackets and wait for response. During this communication, if receiving the response
of the ARP packet, the deception is completed and successfully changes the gateway of the target host to local host [3, 5, 6, and 7].

*After ARP deception*, open sniffer thread to realize monitoring. Any function with a prefix of Packet is the API of Winpcap.

Hence above theory can be discussed as (refer table 1):

**Table 1: Algorithm to Monitoring ARP Spoofing Attack Using Sniffer Tread**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step No.</th>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Programming Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Open the appointed network card</td>
<td>LPADAPTER pAdapter = PacketOpenAdapter ((char*) (LPTSTR)m_strNetName); where m_strNetNameis corresponding network card name.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Change the model of the corresponding network card from the general model to promiscuous model to receive data from the whole LAN.</td>
<td>PacketSetHwFilter (pAdapter,NDIS_PACKET_TYPE_PROMISCUOUS);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Set the Kernel-level buffer of capturing packet as 500k</td>
<td>PacketSetBuff (pAdapter, 500*1024);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Set the overtime of receiving a packet.</td>
<td>PacketSetReadTimeout(pAdapter,1); \ where “1” means 1 Ms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Initialize the packet structure “pReceivedPacket” with a 250k-size-string. Packet structure is a data structure which is used to define packet in WinPcap.</td>
<td>charrecvbuf[1024<em>250]; PacketInitPacket(pReceivedPacket,(char</em>)recvbuf,sizeof(recvbuf));</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Capture some packet, analyze and send the data to Data Analysis Module. Sniffer thread will keep monitoring the process of accessing the packet until the state capacity “exit” is set true. PacketReceivePacket fills the content of packet topReceivedPacket. Through changing the function method of analysePacket(), which is a custom function, we can analyse the packet and get all we want.</td>
<td>While(true) { PacketReceivePacket(pAdapter,pReceivedPacket,TRUE; if(exit) break; analysePacket (pReceivedPacket); }</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Clear the memory and resume the statue of network card. Release the content ofpReceivedPacket and resume the promiscuous model to the general model. Close the network adapter finally.</td>
<td>PacketFreePacket(pReceivedPacket); PacketCloseAdapter(pAdapter);</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence this algorithm used to network monitoring using sniffer thread. Now finally next section concludes this paper.

**VII. CONCLUSION**

ARP cache poisoning occurs due to lack of message authentication since any host on the LAN can spoof ARP replies containing malicious IP to MAC mapping [4]. While man in middle attack is being performed by third unauthentic user presented on a network. Moreover Network monitoring is the key point of effective management and using network with safe operation. This paper provides DES detector based IDS framework for detecting ARP response spoofing; monitoring ARP attack through sniffer thread and defense ARP attack using the winpcap. This scheme uses an active probing mechanism and does not violate the principles of network layering architecture. As advantage, IDS framework and proposed algorithm works as a part of software based approach which does not require any additional hardware to operate. Hence at present in this scheme, for each ARP request, a probe is sent and at least one reply is received. This additional ARP traffic can be reduced by maintaining tables corresponding to IP-MAC pairs found to be genuine or spoofed. Therefore, the feasibility and effectively of IDSV algorithm are suggested. Till now there is no satisfactory solution to cache poisoning and man in middle attack since all the proposed solutions are either insecure or have unacceptable penalties on system performance. As future work, we can work to improve performance of defined framework and algorithm.
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